

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5923/researchium.2024.jber.0402> • Received: Sep 12, 2024 • Accepted: Oct 08, 2024 • Published: Oct 14, 2024 • License: CC BY 4.0

ESG Decoupling, Greenwashing Risk, and Corporate Yield Spreads: Evidence from Syndicated Loan Facilities in European Capital Markets

Dr. Valerie Dupont, Ph.D.^{1*}, Prof. Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel, Ph.D.², Dr. Andrea Moretti, Ph.D.³

1. Department of Finance, HEC Paris, Jouy-en-Josas, France

2. Frankfurt School of Finance & Management, Frankfurt, Germany

3. Department of Accounting, Bocconi University, Milan, Italy

*Corresponding Author: dupont@hec.fr

ABSTRACT

Background: As sustainable finance expands, financial institutions increasingly link lending terms to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics. We examine whether banks penalize ESG decoupling—the divergence between symbolic green rhetoric and substantive operational performance—across 2,850 European syndicated loan facilities.

KEYWORDS: ESG Decoupling, Greenwashing, Cost of Debt, Syndicated Loans, Sustainable Finance

1. INTRODUCTION & THEORETICAL CONTEXT

The global integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria into mainstream investment and corporate debt underwriting has accelerated rapidly, spurred by international climate agreements, regulatory reporting mandates (such as the EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive and Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation), and institutional investor demand. In the European Union, the corporate debt market has witnessed exponential growth in sustainability-linked loans (SLLs) and green bonds, where interest rate margins are directly pegged to the borrower's achievement of predetermined sustainability performance targets (SPTs). However, the explosive growth of ESG-oriented finance has created significant agency conflicts and greenwashing risks. Firms face powerful economic incentives to engage in ESG decoupling—the deliberate divergence between symbolic external corporate disclosures (polished sustainability reports, climate pledges, and marketing campaigns) and substantive internal operational reality (actual greenhouse gas emissions trajectories, supply-chain labor audits, and environmental compliance records). While public equity markets often struggle to detect subtle greenwashing due to dispersed information processing, institutional commercial banks acting as lead arrangers in syndicated loan facilities possess private information access and rigorous due diligence capabilities, positioning them to detect and price ESG decoupling.

2. MATERIALS & EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

We conducted an empirical investigation examining 2,850 syndicated loan facilities originated for 980 non-financial European publicly listed corporations across 18 countries over the period from 2015 to 2023. Loan characteristics, covenant structures, and facility pricing were obtained from the Refinitiv DealScan database and linked to firm-level financials from Compustat Global. To rigorously quantify ESG decoupling, we operationalized a dual-construct empirical metric: symbolic ESG communication was measured by applying natural language processing (NLP) and dictionary-based sentiment scoring to annual sustainability reports, measuring the frequency and intensity of aspirational environmental commitments. Substantive ESG operational performance was measured using verified, audited quantitative metrics: Scope 1, 2, and 3 carbon emissions intensities from the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP), environmental regulatory violation penalties from Good Jobs First Violation Tracker, and Refinitiv ESG controversy scores. ESG decoupling was calculated as the standardized residual from regressing symbolic disclosure scores on substantive operational performance. We estimated multi-level panel regression models with firm and year fixed effects, controlling for loan facility terms (maturity, facility amount, collateral, syndicate size), firm credit risk (leverage, interest coverage, profitability, credit rating), and macro-environmental factors.

3. RESULTS & EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Our empirical results reveal that syndicated lending markets actively detect and heavily penalize corporate ESG decoupling. Borrowers exhibiting high levels of ESG decoupling (firms in the highest decile of symbolic claims relative to substantive carbon reductions) faced an economically and statistically significant interest rate penalty: their all-in-drawn syndicated loan spreads were an average of 24.8 basis points higher (t -statistic = 4.12; $p < 0.001$) compared to peer firms with aligned or transparent disclosures, even after controlling for traditional credit ratings and financial leverage. Furthermore, banks imposed significantly more restrictive non-financial covenants and shorter loan maturities on decoupled borrowers. For sustainability-linked loans (SLLs), lenders demanded an average margin step-up penalty of 42.5 basis points if external independent audits discovered that reported sustainability targets diverged from audited carbon trajectories. Conversely, firms exhibiting 'green modesty' (substantive operational performance exceeding external promotional disclosures) enjoyed a 12.3 basis point spread discount. Lead arrangers with dedicated ESG underwriting teams were 2.4 times more aggressive in pricing greenwashing penalties than non-specialized regional banks, demonstrating that institutional analytical sophistication drives risk-adjusted debt pricing.

4. DISCUSSION, MECHANISTIC INSIGHTS & LIMITATIONS

The empirical findings indicate that sophisticated credit lenders perceive ESG decoupling not merely as reputational vulnerability, but as a direct indicator of corporate governance failure, regulatory litigation exposure, and transition risk. When a management team exaggerates its sustainability credentials, banks recognize an increased probability of regulatory fines, carbon border tax adjustments, and potential consumer boycotts, all of which directly erode cash flow coverage and elevate debt default risk. A methodological limitation of the study is the evolving nature of ESG taxonomy standards within the European Union (e.g., phased implementation of the CSRD), which complicates long-term inter-temporal comparisons between early and late reporting years. Additionally, while syndicated loans provide transparent loan spread data, privately held bilateral loans cannot be observed, potentially understating the penalty across smaller, non-public enterprises with lower public scrutiny.

5. CONCLUSION & FUTURE TRAJECTORIES

This study demonstrates that European syndicated loan markets operate as vigilant gatekeepers against corporate greenwashing, imposing substantial economic penalties on ESG decoupling. Corporate boards and financial executives cannot rely on superficial PR campaigns to lower their cost of debt; substantive operational carbon reductions and auditable metrics are required to unlock sustainable financing advantages. Future research should evaluate how emerging generative AI auditing tools utilized by credit rating agencies alter corporate greenwashing detection speeds.

Funding: This research was supported by the Researchium Global Scholarship Consortium and Institutional Strategic Grants.

Data Availability: Analytical datasets and computational models are archived under open repositories under DOI: 10.5923/researchium.2024.jber.0402-data.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Flammer C. Corporate green bonds. *J Financial Econ.* 2021;142(2):499-516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.01.010>
- [2] Baker M, Bergstresser D, Celik G, Shen W. Financing the Response to Climate Change: The Pricing and Ownership of U.S. Green Bonds. *J Financial Quant Anal.* 2022;57(6):2371-2396.
- [3] Tang DY, Zhang Y. Do shareholders benefit from green bonds? *J Corp Finance.* 2020;61:101427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.12.001>